

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

MICHAEL HACKLEY ARCHITECTS,
P.C. AND MICHAEL HACKLEY,

*

*

Plaintiffs,

*

v.

*

CASE NO: JFM 02 CV 3363

LVLX, INC., JOHN LEE,
COMMERCIAL FINISH GROUP,
INC., JEFFREY WAY, AND
JOHN TROUTON,

*

*

Defendants.

*

PLAINTIFFS MICHAEL HACKLEY AND MICHAEL HACKLEY ARCHITECTS, P.C.
OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S SECOND MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Plaintiffs, Michael Hackley and Michael Hackley Architects, P.C. submit their Opposition to Defendants' Second Motion for Summary Judgment. As grounds for their motion, Defendants aver numerous disputed facts as undisputed, making this Count not ripe for summary judgment.

In support of Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Second Motion for Summary Judgment, Plaintiffs rely upon the attached Memorandum in Support of Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Second Motion for Summary Judgment, including exhibits.

THEREFORE, for the reasons discussed in the contemporaneously-filed memorandum, Plaintiffs request that this Court deny Defendants' Second Motion for Summary Judgment.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorneys for Plaintiff

_____/s/_____
Royal W. Craig Fed. Bar No. 24,546
Deborah J. Westervelt, Fed. Bar No. 26,282
Law Offices of Royal W. Craig
10 North Calvert Street
Suite 153
Baltimore, Maryland 21202
(410) 385-2383

Dated: February 2, 2004